

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/707,523	12/19/2003	Andreas Knecht	P7343.8US	1522
30008 75	90 01/25/2006		EXAMINER	
GUDRUN E. HUCKETT DRAUDT			ESHETE, ZELALEM	
LONSSTR. 53			-	
WUPPERTAL,	42289		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
GERMANY			3748	

DATE MAILED: 01/25/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/707,523	KNECHT ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Zelalem Eshete	3748	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirr vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDCNE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04 Not</u> 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 2-19 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 2-10 and 16-19 is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) 11-15 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicated any not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob-	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	arminer, Note the attached Office	Action of form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		

DETAILED ACTION

This Office Action is in response to the RCE filed on 11/04/2005.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35
 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this
 Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 18,2,4-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ushida (5,960,757).

Regarding claim 18: Ushida discloses an adjusting device for camshafts of motor vehicles (see figures 1,2), comprising: a stator having radial inwardly projecting stays (see numerals 3a,3b), a rotor having vanes projecting into spaces defined between the stays of the stator and dividing the spaces into pressure chambers (see numerals 9a,9b), wherein the rotor is rotatable relative to the stator and wherein the vanes of the rotor are loadable on opposed sides with a pressure medium (see numerals 10-13); wherein the rotor is lockable relative to the stator in a locked position (see numeral 7), wherein the stator has at least one locking bore and wherein the rotor has a locking element having a locking position in which the locking element engages the locking bore and locks

Art Unit: 3748

the rotor in the locked position (see figure 1), and wherein the locking element is moveable by the pressure medium from the locking position into a release position by the pressure medium supplied by a first supply groove and a second supply groove to independently load a first surface and a second surface of the at least one locking element, respectively (see column 12, lines 23 to 30; see numerals 24, 23); wherein, when an engine of the motor vehicle is started with the locking element in the locking position, the second supply groove remains open and supplies the pressure medium to the second surface of the locking element (see numeral 23), wheren the locking element remains in the locking position until a pressure of the pressure medium is greater than a counterforce of the locking element forcing the locking element into the locking position (see figure 5).

Regarding claim 2: Ushida discloses locking disk or "plate" fastened on the stator wherein the locking bore is provided in the locking disk (see numeral 4).

Regarding claim 4: Ushida discloses the locking element has an end face facing the locking bore and wherein the end face is loaded by the pressure medium (see numeral 24).

Regarding claims 5,6: Ushida discloses the locking element is movable against a counterforce or spring force out of the locking position into the release position (see column 12, lines 23 to 30).

Regarding claim 7: Ushida discloses the locking element is a hollow piston (see numeral 7).

Regarding claim 8: Ushida discloses the locking element is arranged to be slidable within a bore provided in a first one of the vanes of the rotor (see figures 16,17, numeral 80).

Regarding claim 9: Ushida discloses the locking element has an end positioned

in a bore of the first vane of the rotor, wherein the end of the locking element has an annular piston surface loadable by the pressure medium (see numeral 23).

Regarding claim 10. Ushida discloses the end of the locking element has a radially outwardly oriented flange and wherein the annular piston surface is provided on the radially outwardly oriented flange (see numeral 7).

Page 5

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ushida in view of Ichinose as applied to claim 18 above; and further in view of Golovatai-Schmidt et al. (US2003/0084863).

Ushida as modified above discloses the claimed invention as recited above; however, fails to disclose the locking bore is elongate in a rotational direction of the rotor.

However, Golovatai-Schmidt teaches the locking bore is elongate in a rotational direction of the rotor (see figure 2).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention is made to modify the device as taught by Ushida as modified above by providing elongate bore in a rotational direction as taught by Golovatai-Schmidt in order to achieve a better locking mechanism.

5. Claims 16,17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ushida in view of Ichinose as applied to claim 18 above; and further in view of Trzmiel et al. (6,085,708).

Regarding claim 16: Ushida as modified above discloses the claimed invention as recited above; and further discloses the locking element is arranged to be slidable within a bore provided in a first one of the vanes of the rotor (figure 1,2).

Ushida as modified above fails to disclose wherein at least one of the spaces, neighboring the space where the first vane of the rotor is arranged, has at least two throttles for reducing a rotational speed of the rotor shortly before the locking element engages the at least one locking bore.

However, Trzmiel teaches damping throttles in order to hydraulically dampen changes in rotational positions of the parts (see column 5, line 52 to column 6, line 8).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device of Ushida as modified above by providing damping throttles as taught by Trzmiel in order to dampen changes in rotational positions of the parts as taught by Trzmiel.

Regarding claim 17: Trzmiel teaches the throttles are throttle grooves or "gap" connecting a supply of the pressure medium with the at least one of the spaces (see column 6, lines 13 to 16).

6. Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ushida in view of Fukuhara et al. (6,460,496).

Application/Control Number: 10/707,523

Art Unit: 3748

Ushida discloses the claimed invention as recited above; except for throttle grooves.

Fukuhara teaches throttle grooves arrangements in various locations in order to narrow the opening area (see column 25, line 60 to column 26, line 3).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Ushida's device by providing throttles as taught by Fukuhara in order to narrow the openings as taught by Fukuhara thereby controlling the pressure of the fluid flow.

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 11-16 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 1 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire

THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is

filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory

action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Zelalem Eshete whose telephone number is (571) 272-4860. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas Denion can be reached on (571) 272-4859. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3748

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Zelalem Eshete

Page 9

Examiner

Art Unit 3748

THOMAS DENION
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700